

Conference Proceedings

Edited by:
Luciana Duranti and
Elizabeth Shaffer

The Memory of the World in the Digital Age: Digitization and Preservation

An international conference
on permanent access to
digital documentary heritage

Hosted by:



a place of mind
THE UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA

In collaboration with



UNIVERSITY OF
TORONTO



United Nations
Educational, Scientific and
Cultural Organization



Memory of the World
20th Anniversary

26 to 28 SEPTEMBER 2012
Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada
Sheraton Vancouver Wall Centre



United Nations
Educational, Scientific and
Cultural Organization



Memory of the World
20th Anniversary



Organisation
des Nations Unies
pour l'éducation,
la science et la culture



Mémoire du monde
20^e anniversaire

Conference Proceedings

Edited by:
Luciana Duranti and
Elizabeth Shaffer

The Memory of the World in the Digital Age: Digitization and Preservation

An international conference
on permanent access to
digital documentary heritage

26 to 28 SEPTEMBER 2012
Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada
Sheraton Vancouver Wall Centre

UNESCO Memory of the World Programme, Knowledge Societies Division

This book of Proceedings includes most of the papers and posters presented at the International Conference “The Memory of the World in the Digital Age: Digitization and Preservation” held on 26–28 September 2012 in Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada, by the UNESCO Memory of the World Programme, Knowledge Societies Division, and The University of British Columbia in collaboration with the University of Toronto.

The proceedings have been compiled and formatted with minor editing; papers and posters appear as submitted. The authors are responsible for the choice and the presentation of the facts contained in this publication and for the opinions they express, which are not necessarily those of UNESCO and do not commit the Organization.

The designations employed and the presentation of material throughout this publication do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of UNESCO concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries.

The organizers of this UNESCO Memory of the World Programme Conference would like to sincerely thank everyone who contributed to the Conference in Vancouver and to these proceedings.

Published by UNESCO 2013, with the financial support of the Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council of Canada | Conseil de recherches en sciences humaines du Canada (SSHRC) and the International Research on Permanent Authentic Records in Electronic Systems (InterPARES) Project.



Social Sciences and Humanities
Research Council of Canada

Conseil de recherches en
sciences humaines du Canada

Canada



InterPARES Project

International Research on Permanent Authentic Records in Electronic Systems

Preface

This publication presents the proceedings of the international conference 'Memory of the World in the Digital Age: Digitization and Preservation' which was held in Vancouver, Canada, from 26 to 28 September 2012.

More than 500 experts and other interested persons from all regions of the world participated in this knowledge-sharing and policy-driving event to discuss and exchange opinions on how to protect the world's documentary heritage. Although this heritage is the record of knowledge, its physical carriers are extremely vulnerable and can easily disappear without a trace. Whether recorded on a clay tablet or an electronic tablet, our methods of sharing content and knowledge need to be protected.

It is impossible to exaggerate the importance of documentary heritage in our lives. It governs our actions whether these relate to creating the basis of mutual respect between different civilizations and communities or building knowledge societies. Documentary heritage provides the foundation of peace, our identity and knowledge.

UNESCO's interest in this subject matter is as fundamental as its constitution with its mandate to contribute to building peace through the spread of knowledge from improved access to printed and published materials. These core materials, our documentary heritage, have been preserved in archives, libraries and museums for generations.

But while measures needed to maintain access to print materials are globally understood, the newer challenges related to preserving digital information are not keeping pace with technological development. The need for dedicated hardware and software, associated with their rapid obsolescence, hamper our ability to keep invaluable content accessible. Unless timely migration to newer technologies, operating systems and software platforms is assured, we face the risk developing digital Alzheimer's.

UNESCO's expectation from this Conference was to obtain a better definition of our expected role, and our contribution to setting a global digital agenda. The UNESCO/UBC Vancouver Declaration sets out specific recommendations which we will be implementing and incorporating into our digital strategy. Likewise, we expect that our Member States, professional organizations and private sector bodies will also implement the recommendations addressed to them.

Only through collaborative strategic alliances can we overcome the major challenges threatening the preservation of digital information. We believe that the presentations featured in this publication provide the basis for a global commitment to preserving the memory of our world in this digital age.

Jānis Kārklīņš
Assistant Director-General
for Communication and Information

Contents

Preface	4
Opening Keynotes	
Kenneth Thibodeau <i>Wrestling with Shape-Shifters: Perspectives on Preserving Memory in the Digital Age</i>	15
Luciana Duranti <i>Trust and Conflicting Rights in the Digital Environment</i>	24
Anne Thurston <i>Digitization and Preservation: Global Opportunities and Cultural Challenges</i>	31
Intellectual Property Infrastructure Initiatives for Digital Heritage	
Heather Christenson and John P. Wilkin <i>Intellectual Property Rights & the HathiTrust Collection</i>	39
Elizabeth Townsend Gard <i>The Durationator® Copyright Experiment</i>	46
Kate Hennessy <i>The Intangible and the Digital: Participatory Media Production and Local Cultural Property Rights Discourse</i>	58
Preservation Infrastructures: Current Models and Potential Alternatives	
Ilaria Pescini and Walter Volpi <i>An Example to Follow: An Infrastructure for Interoperability and Governance in the Tuscan Public System for Digital Preservation</i>	70
Francis G. Mwangi <i>The Road to Providing Access to Kenya’s Information Heritage: Digitization project in the Kenya National Archives and Documentation Service (KNADS)</i>	83
Jeremy York <i>A Preservation Infrastructure Built to Last: Preservation, Community, and HathiTrust</i>	92
Hrvoje Stančić, Arian Rajh and Ivor Milošević <i>“Archiving-as-a-Service”: Influence of Cloud Computing on the Archival Theory and Practice</i>	108
The CODATA Mission: Preserving Scientific Data for the Future	
Elizabeth Griffin and the CODATA DARTG Team <i>Recovering the Forgettery of the World</i>	127
Patrick C. Caldwell <i>Tide Gauge Data Rescue</i>	134
Stephen Del Greco <i>Environmental Data Through Time: Extending The Climate Record</i>	150
Tracey P. Lauriault and D. R. Fraser Taylor <i>The Map as a Fundamental Source in the Memory of the World</i>	160

Preserving Tradition and Performing Arts in Digital Form

Ravi Katikala, Kurt Madsen and Gilberto Mincaye Nenquimo Enqueri

Life at the Edge of the Internet: Preserving the Digital Heritage of Indigenous Cultures..... 190

Lekoko Kenosi

Digital Madness, Archival Theory and the Endangered Sound Archives of Radio Botswana 206

Jørgen Langdalen

Editing Historical Music in the Age of Digitization..... 212

Lauren Sorensen and Tanisha Jones

Developing and Implementing a Digital Video Repository for Legacy Dance Documentation: Dance Heritage Coalition's Secure Media Network..... 217

Beyond Access: Digitization to Preserve Culture

Fernanda Maria Melo Alves, José António Moreira González and José Manuel Matias

Safeguarding of the Portuguese Language Documentary Heritage: The Lusophone Digital Library..... 229

Benoit Ferland et Tristan Müller

Le réseau francophone numérique 236

John Van Oudenaren

The World Digital Library..... 246

Strategies for Building Digital Repositories

Bronwen Sprout and Sarah Romkey

A Persistent Digital Collections Strategy for UBC Library 257

Neil Grindley

Building the Business Case for Digital Preservation..... 269

Kevin Bradley

Requirements of a Remote Repository 278

Digital Forensics for the Preservation of Digital Heritage

Wayne W. Liu

Accountability for Archival Digital Curation in Preserving the Memory of the World..... 288

Christopher A. Lee and Kam Woods

Automated Redaction of Private and Personal Data in Collections: Toward Responsible Stewardship of Digital Heritage..... 298

Corinne Rogers and Jeremy Leighton John

Shared Perspectives, Common Challenges: A History of Digital Forensics & Ancestral Computing for Digital Heritage..... 314

Giving a Permanent Digital Voice to the Silenced

Terry Reilly

For the Children Taken: The Challenge to Truth Commissions in Building digital collections for research and long-term preservation 338

National Strategies as the Foundation of Togetherness

Andris Vilks and Uldis Zariņš

National Planning as the Key for Successful Implementation of Digitization Strategies..... 348

Ivan Chew & Haliza Jailan

Preserving the Crowdsourced Memories of a Nation: The Singapore Memory Project 354

Ernesto C. Bodê

Digital Preservation Policy of The Chamber of Deputies: Methodology for its development..... 366

Web 2.0 Products as Documentary Digital Heritage: Can We Access and Preserve Them?

Jamie Schleser

Unprotected Memory: User-Generated Content and the Unintentional Archive..... 378

Heather Ryckman

Context 2.0: User Attitudes to the Reliability of Archival Context on the Web 393

Lisa P. Nathan and Elizabeth Shaffer

Preserving Social Media: Opening a Multi-Disciplinary Dialogue..... 410

The Role of Culture in Digitization and Digital Preservation

Fiorella Foscarini, Gillian Oliver, Juan Ilerbaig and Kevin Krumrei

Preservation Cultures: Developing a Framework for a Culturally Sensitive Digital Preservation Agenda..... 419

Tukul Sepania Walla Kaiku and Vicky Puipui

Political, Cultural and Professional Challenges for Digitization and Preservation of Government Information in Papua New Guinea: An Overview 431

Xincai Wang and Yunxia Nie

Current Situation, Problems and Prospects of the Digital Preservation of Documentary Heritage in China 439

Open Archival Information System Reference Model: Answer or Inspiration?

Stefano S. Cavaglieri

Digital Archiving Systems Confronted with the OAIS Reference Model..... 451

Saeed Rezaei Sharifabadi, Mansour Tajdaran and Zohreh Rasouli

A Model for Managing Digital Pictures of the National Archives of Iran: Based on the Open Archival Information System Reference Model 457

Collaboration in Digital Preservation or Lack Thereof: What Works

Maria Guercio

Digital Preservation in Europe: Strategic Plans, Research Outputs and Future Implementation. The Weak Role of the Archival Institutions..... 467

Rolf Källman

Models for National Collaboration: Coordination of the Digital Cultural Heritage in Sweden..... 482

Victoria Reich

Building and Preserving Library Digital Collections Through Community Collaboration..... 489

Steve Knight

National Library of New Zealand, Digital Preservation and the Role of UNESCO..... 500

The Economics of Preserving Digital Information

David S. H. Rosenthal, Daniel C. Rosenthal, Ethan L. Miller, Ian F. Adams, Mark W. Storer and Erez Zadok

The Economics of Long-Term Digital Storage 513

Ulla Bøgvad Kejser, Anders Bo Nielsen and Alex Thirifays

Modelling the Costs of Preserving Digital Assets..... 529

L.M. Udaya Prasad Cabral

Economically Easy Method to Digitize Oversized Documents with Special Reference to Ola Leaf Manuscripts in Sri Lanka 540

Patricia Liebetrau

Preserving Our Heritage: An Independent Advantage 549

Is A New Legal Framework Required for Digital Preservation or Will Policy Do?

Tony Sheppard

Is a New Legal Framework Required for Digital Preservation or Will Policy Do? Building a Legal Framework to Facilitate Long-term Preservation of Digital Heritage: A Canadian Perspective..... 559

Alicia Barnard

Development of Policies and Requirements for Ingesting and Preserving Digital Records Into a Preservation System: Where to start?..... 570

Jason R. Baron and Simon J. Attfield

Where Light in Darkness Lies: Preservation, Access and Sensemaking Strategies for the Modern Digital Archive..... 580

Elaine Goh

Strengthening the Regulatory Framework in a Digital Environment: A Review of Archives Legislation..... 596

Digital Curation: Convergence of Challenges, Institutions and Knowledge

Sarah Higgins

Digital Curation: The Challenge Driving Convergence across Memory Institutions 607

Jackie R. Esposito

Digital Curation: Building an Environment for Success..... 624

Patricia Forget

Célébrations institutionnelles : Événement catalyseur propice à l'implantation d'un projet de conservation du patrimoine numérique permettant de réunir les acteurs d'intérêts divergents 636

Jeannette A. Bastian and Ross Harvey

The Convergence of Cultural Heritage: Practical Experiments and Lessons Learned..... 650

Digitization and Digital Preservation Experiences in a Developing Country Perspective

Elizabeth F. Watson

The Conservation and Preservation of Heritage in the Caribbean: What Challenges Does Digitization Pose? 661

Richard Marcoux, Laurent Richard and Mamadou Kani Konaté <i>Digital Preservation of Demographic Heritage: Population Censuses and Experiences in Mali and the Democratic Republic of the Congo</i>	672
Brandon Oswald <i>Partnership in Paradise: The Importance of Collaboration for Handling Traditional Cultural Expression Material in the Pacific Islands</i>	685
Ensuring That it Won't Happen Again	
Victoria L. Lemieux <i>Financial Records and Their Discontents: Safeguarding the Records of our Financial Systems</i>	700
Myron Groover <i>The White House E-Mail Destruction Scandal of 2007: A Case Study for Digital Heritage</i>	713
Kenneth Thibodeau <i>The Perfect Archival Storm: The Transfer of Electronic Records from the G.W. Bush White House to the National Archives of the United States</i>	724
Trusting Records	
Lorraine Dong <i>The Ethical and Legal Issues of Historical Mental Health Records as Cultural Heritage</i>	735
Marie Demoulin et Sébastien Soyez <i>L'authenticité, de l'original papier à la copie numérique : Les enjeux juridiques et archivistiques de la numérisation</i>	745
Web Archiving as Part of Building the Documentary Heritage of Our Time	
Liu Hua, Yang Menghui, Zhao Guojun and Feng Huiling <i>Chinese Web Archiving and Statistical Analysis on Chinese Web Archives</i>	765
Gustavo Urbano Navarro <i>Implications of the Web Semantization on the Development of Digital Heritage</i>	775
Matt Holden <i>Preserving the Web Archive for Future Generations: Practical Experiments with Emulation and Migration Technologies</i>	783
Technology as the Mediator of Heritage and Its Relations with People	
Ian S. King <i>The Turtle At The Bottom: Reflections on Access and Preservation for Information Artefacts</i>	797
Erik Borglund <i>Challenges to Capture the Hybrid Heritage: When Activities Take Place in Both Digital and Non-Digital Environments</i>	814
Limited Resources or Expertise: Case Studies in Addressing the Issue	
Jean Bosco Ntungirimana <i>La problématique de la préservation de la mémoire collective au Burundi à l'ère des NTIC : Étude de cas menée à la Cour supreme</i>	823
Farah Al-Sabah <i>Digitizing A Survivor's Identity: The Past, Present, and Future of the Kuwait National Museum Archives</i>	838

Wayne W. Torborg, Theresa M. Vann and Columba Stewart <i>The Challenges of Manuscript Preservation in the Digital Age</i>	851
Plenary 3 Keynotes	
Dietrich Schüller <i>Challenges for the Preservation of Audiovisual Documents: A General Overview</i>	863
International Perspectives and Cooperation	
Claudia Nicolai, Rachele Oriente and Fernando Serván <i>One Year of Efforts for Digital Preservation at FAO</i>	871
Peter Burnhill, Françoise Pelle, Pierre Godefroy, Fred Guy, Morag Macgregor and Adam Rusbridge <i>Archiving the World's E-Journals: The Keepers Registry as Global Monitor</i>	880
The World Audiovisual Memory: Practical Challenges, Theoretical Solutions?	
Jean Gagnon <i>Treasures That Sleep: Film Archives in the Digital Era</i>	892
Caroline Frick <i>Seeing, Hearing, and Moving Heritage: Issues and Implications for the World's Audiovisual Memory in the Digital Age</i>	896
Edoardo Ceccuti <i>The Digitization of Films and Photos of the Istituto Luce</i>	904
Adam Jansen <i>Challenges and Triumphs: Preserving HD Video at the UBC School of Journalism</i>	909
Mick Newnham, Trevor Carter, Greg Moss and Rod Butler <i>Digital Disaster Recovery for Audiovisual Collections: Testing the Theory</i>	921
Metadata and Formats for Digitization and Digital Preservation	
Joseph T. Tennis <i>Data, Documents, and Memory: A Taxonomy of Sources in Relation to Digital Preservation and Authenticity Metadata</i>	933
Adam Rabinowitz, Maria Esteva and Jessica Trelogan <i>Ensuring a Future for the Past: Long-term Preservation Strategies for Digital Archaeological Data</i>	941
Giovanni Michetti and Paola Manoni <i>It FITS the Cultural Heritage! Formats for Preservation: From Spatial Data to Cultural Resources</i>	955
Lois Enns and Gurb Badesha <i>File Viewers: Examining On-the-Fly File Format Conversion</i>	962
Walter Allasia, Fabrizio Falchi, Francesco Gallo and Carlo Meghini <i>Autonomic Preservation of "Access Copies" of Digital Contents</i>	976
A Methodology Framework to Ensure Preservation	
Anca Claudia Prodan <i>Bias and Balance in the Preservation of Digital Heritage</i>	989

Giovanni Michetti	
<i>Archives Are Not Trees: Hierarchical Representations in Digital Environment</i>	1002
Göran Samuelsson	
<i>The New Information Landscape: The Archivist and Architect – Drawing on a Common Map?</i>	1011
Shadrack Katuu	
<i>Enterprise Content Management and Digital Curation Applications: Maturity Model Connections</i>	1025
Christopher J. Prom	
<i>Facilitating the Aggregation of Dispersed Personal Archives: A Proposed Functional, Technical, and Business Model</i>	1042
Digital Objects as Forensic Evidence	
Carsten Rudolph and Nicolai Kuntze	
<i>Constructing and Evaluating Digital Evidence for Processes</i>	1057
Aaron Alva, Scott David and Barbara Endicott-Popovsky	
<i>Forensic Barriers: Legal Implications of Storing and Processing Information in the Cloud</i>	1064
Michael Losavio, Deborah Keeling and Michael Lemon	
<i>Models in Collaborative and Distributed Digital Investigation: In the World of Ubiquitous Computing and Communication Systems</i>	1079
Fabio Marturana and Simone Tacconi	
<i>Cloud Computing Implications to Digital Forensics: A New Methodology Proposal</i>	1093
Andrew F. Hay and Gilbert L. Peterson	
<i>Acquiring OS X File Handles Through Forensic Memory Analysis</i>	1102
Institutional and Inter-Organizational Initiatives in Digitization	
Anup Kumar Das	
<i>Digitization of Documentary Heritage Collections in Indic Language: Comparative Study of Five Major Digital Library Initiatives in India</i>	1126
Ronald Walker	
<i>Digital Heritage Preservation - Economic Realities and Options</i>	1139
S. K. Reilly	
<i>Positioning Libraries in the Digital Preservation Landscape</i>	1146
Heidi Rosen, Torsten Johansson, Mikael Andersson and Henrik Johansson	
<i>Experiences from Digidaily: Inter-Agency Mass Digitization of Newspapers in Sweden</i>	1153
Preserving Images: What Do We Need to Know?	
Adama Aly Pam	
<i>Chemins de la mémoire : Les archives audiovisuelles au secours de l'identité d'une organisation internationale africaine</i>	1163
Krystyna K. Matusiak and Tamara K. Johnston	
<i>Digitization as a Preservation Strategy: Saving and Sharing the American Geographical Society Library's Historic Nitrate Negative Images</i>	1173
Jessica Bushey	
<i>Born Digital Images: Creation to Preservation</i>	1189

Angelina Altobellis <i>Essential Skills for Digital Preservation: Addressing the Training Needs of Staff in Small Heritage Institutions</i>	1198
Small and Large Scale Digitization: Towards a Shared Conceptual Model	
Peter Botticelli, Patricia Montiel-Overall and Ann Clark <i>Building Sustainable Digital Cultural Heritage Collections: Towards Best Practices for Small-scale Digital Projects</i>	1205
Marco de Niet, Titia van der Werf and Vincent Wintermans <i>Preserving Digital Heritage: The UNESCO Charter and Developments in the Netherlands</i>	1219
Paul Conway <i>Validating Quality in Large-Scale Digitization: Findings on the Distribution of Imaging Error</i>	1233
Lars Björk <i>Lost in Transit: The Informative Capacity of Digital Reproductions</i>	1252
Preservation of Audiovisual Material	
Mike Casey <i>The Media Preservation Initiative at Indiana University Bloomington</i>	1266
George Blood <i>Video Compression...For Dummies?</i>	1273
Pio Pellizzari, Álvaro Hegewich <i>The Ibero-American Preservation Platform of Sound and Audiovisual Heritage</i>	1289
Trusting Data and Documents Online	
Junbin Fang, Zoe Lin Jiang, Mengfei He, S.M. Yiu, Lucas C.K. Hui, K.P. Chow and Gang Zhou <i>Investigating and Analysing the Web-based Contents on Chinese Shanzhai Mobile Phones</i>	1297
Junwei Huang, Yinjie Chen, Zhen Ling, Kyungseok Choo and Xinwen Fu <i>A Framework of Network Forensics and its Application of Locating Suspects in Wireless Crime Scene Investigation</i>	1310
F.R. Van Staden and H.S. Venter <i>Implementing Digital Forensic Readiness for Cloud Computing Using Performance Monitoring Tools</i>	1329
Yongjie Cai and Ping Ji <i>Security Monitoring for Wireless Network Forensics (SMoWF)</i>	1340
Workshops	
Peter Van Garderen, P. Jordan, T. Hooten, C. Mumma and E. McLellan <i>The Archivematica Project: Meeting Digital Continuity's Technical Challenges</i>	1349
Hannes Kulovits, Christoph Becker and Andreas Rauber <i>Roles and Responsibilities in Digital Preservation Decision Making: Towards Effective Governance</i>	1360
Posters and Presentations	
Collence Takaingehamo Chisita and Amos Bishi <i>Challenges and Opportunities of Digitizing and Preserving Cultural Heritage in Zimbabwe</i>	1382

Donna McRostie	
<i>The long and winding road from aspiration to implementation – building an enterprise digitization capability at the University of Melbourne</i>	1384
Asger Svane-Knudsen and Jiří Vnouček	
<i>Retrieving a part of Danish colonial history: From dust to digital copy</i>	1386
Mitra Samiee and Saeed Rezaei Sharifabadi	
<i>A Paradigm for the preservation of national digital memory of Iran</i>	1392
Chinyere Otuonye, Tamunoibuomi F. Okajagu, Samuel O. Etatuvie, Emmanuel Orgah, Gift Eyemienbai, Luke Oyovwevotu, Ewoma Borgu, and Janet Ukoha	
<i>Insights on the Digitization of Traditional Medicine Knowledge in Nigeria</i>	1395
Nader Naghshineh and Saeed Nezareh	
<i>Crowd-sourced digital preservation: An Iranian model</i>	1397
Chris Muller	
<i>Data at Risk: The Duty to Find, Rescue, Preserve</i>	1399
Natalia Grincheva	
<i>Digital diplomacy: Providing access to cultural content, engaging audiences on a global scale</i>	1401
Rusnah Johare	
<i>Preserving digital research data</i>	1403
Claudia M. Wanderley	
<i>Multilingualism at the University of Campinas</i>	1405
Anne Thurston	
<i>Open government and trustworthy records</i>	1407
Jan Marontate, David Murphy, Megan Robertson, Nathan Clarkson and Maggie Chao	
<i>Canada – Aural memories: A case study of soundscape archives</i>	1421
Na Cai, Leye Yao and Liu Liu	
<i>Creating Social Memories of Major Events in China: A Case study of the 5•12 Wenchuan Earthquake Digital Archive</i>	1423
Addendum	
Howard Besser	
<i>Archiving Large Amounts of Individually-Created Digital Content: Lessons from Archiving the Occupy Movement</i>	1432
Nadja Wallaszkovits	
<i>Digitisation of Small Sound Collections: Problems and Solutions</i>	1440
UNESCO/UBC Vancouver Declaration	
<i>The Memory of the World in the Digital Age: Digitization and Preservation</i>	1452
Sponsors	

Autonomic Preservation of “Access Copies” of Digital Contents

Walter Allasia,¹ Fabrizio Falchi,² Francesco Gallo¹ and Carlo Meghini²

¹EURIX S.r.l. walter.allasia@eurixgroup.com; ²ISTI-CNR

Abstract

The paper proposes the abstract approach enabling the delegation of the preservation processes that can be applied automatically to the access copies. The current standard, OAIS, is a reference model only and does not prescribe or even guide implementation. In particular, OAIS is designed around the Archival Information Package, leaving the Dissemination Information Package outside its boundaries. At the same time the “access copies” created as Dissemination Packages, must be preserved as well and very often can be considered as the cultural heritage the user is able to access. Small institutions as well as private users, usually cannot afford a dedicated Archival Information System for preservation and their access copies often constitute the contents themselves. This paper investigates and analyses the problem of autonomic management of the “access copies” preservation. Autonomous agents have been conceptualized in order to manage the stored contents and to keep them updated. The representation of dependencies and obsolescence has been analysed for allowing the automatic application of migration paths.

Authors

Walter Allasia graduated in Physics in 1994 at the University of Turin with a thesis on the design of front-end electronic for nuclear detectors, tested at CERN, joined EURIX in 1997 and worked as software architect and designer of Enterprise Object Oriented Applications. Since 2001 he is Professor by contract at Physic University of Turin. He has been involved in several international projects such as PrestoSpace, PrestoPRIME, SAPIR, DMP and contributed to several MPEG standards such as MPQF, MAF, MPEG-M. During the Ph.D. he was involved in setting up an Interoperable Digital Rights Management Infrastructure on a structured overlay network. Currently his research is focusing on Information Retrieval and Digital Preservation. Since March 2011 he is co-chairing the MPEG Ad Hoc Group on Multimedia Preservation.

Fabrizio Falchi is a researcher at ISTI-CNR in Pisa working on multimedia information retrieval, multimedia content management systems, content-based image retrieval, Peer-to-Peer systems. He received the MSc in Computer Engineering from the University of Pisa, a Ph.D. in Information Engineering from University of Pisa, and a Ph.D. in Informatics from Faculty of Informatics of Masaryk University of Brno. He has a MBA in “Innovation Management & Services Engineering” from Scuola Superiore Sant’Anna in Pisa. He has been involved in several national and international project including SAPIR, VISITO Tuscany, NeP4B, ASSETS.

Francesco Gallo graduated in Physics in 2001 and obtained a Ph.D. in High Energy Physics in 2004 at University of Torino. From 2000 to 2006, as associate member of INFN Torino, he participated to international experiments at Stanford Linear Accelerator Center, California. His research activity focused on the study and development of software algorithms and analysis tools for the precise measurement and validation of the Standard Model of fundamental interactions. Since 2006 he has been involved in several R&D projects in EURIX, such as SAPIR and PrestoPRIME, covering different research areas: information retrieval, digital preservation, digital media processing. Currently he is responsible for the development of the PrestoPRIME preservation platform and is editor of the ISO/IEC 21000-19 (MPEG-21 CEL) standard.

Carlo Meghini is a prime researcher at ISTI, working in the area of digital libraries and digital preservation. In the area of digital libraries, he has been involved in the DELOS Network of Excellence in

Digital Libraries, contributing to the DELOS Reference Model for Digital Libraries; he participated in the FP6 Integrated Project BRICKS, aiming at developing a distributed Digital Library Management System, in the DL.org coordination action, and is involved in the making of Europeana since 2007, through the EDLnet, Europeana version 1.0, Europeana version 2.0 and ASSETS Best Practice Networks. In the area of digital preservation, he has been involved in the CASPAR project, an FP6 Integrated Project aiming at developing an OAIS-based architecture for preservation; he has also taught the OAIS Reference Model in several events organized by the CASPAR Project in conjunction with PLANETS and DPE Network of Excellence in Digital Preservation.

1. Introduction

Setting up an Archival Information System for digital preservation is a complex topic covering several aspects. The paper proposes to make use of Autonomic agents for setting up a preservation framework able to manage the digital “obsolescence” automatically. Focusing the attention to a specific context, the access copies, the authors introduce the backbone for enabling the autonomic preserving. Section 2 reports the state of the art and current technologies in the field of digital preservation and autonomic systems. Section 3 introduces the Autonomic approach discussed in this paper, how to discover obsolescence and how to manage it autonomically, such as for example how to identify automatically the best migration path for contents and formats according to their relationships and dependencies: a model for these descriptions is presented in Section 4. Finally section 5 gives a preliminary design of the autonomic preservation, specifically addressing the “access copies”. Section 6 provides an overview of some future work that can be already foreseen, some currently investigated by the authors.

2. Background

The contribution proposed by this paper is built on top of the OAIS model and the concept of autonomic computing, which are briefly introduced in the following. Open Archival Information System (OAIS) reference model¹ was developed to standardize digital preservation practice and provides a set of recommendations for preservation program implementation. It uses Representation Information to transform a data object into an information object and the Archival Information Package (AIP) to enable collections of information to be preserved over time by identifying the metadata needed for preservation. OAIS model has been adopted in several projects and initiatives as the basis for the design and implementation of digital preservation systems.

Introduced by Paul Horn at IBM in 2001,² Autonomic Computing refers to self-managing characteristics of distributed computing resources, adapting to unpredictable changes while hiding intrinsic complexity to operators and users. In Brazier et al. 2009,³ the relationship between autonomic computing and agents has been studied. In particular, knowledge and reasoning, planning and scheduling,

¹ Consultative Committee for Space Data Systems. *Recommendation for Space Data System Standards: Reference Model for an Open Archival Information System (OAIS). Blue Book*, 2002.

² P. Horn, “Autonomic computing: IBM’s perspective on the state of information technology,” also known as IBM’s Autonomic Computing Manifesto, IBM (October 2001).
http://www.research.ibm.com/autonomic/manifesto/autonomic_computing.pdf.

³ Frances M. T. Brazier, Jeffrey O. Kephart, H. Van Dyke Parunak, and Michael N. Huhns, “Agents and Service-Oriented Computing for Autonomic Computing: A Research Agenda,” *IEEE Internet Computing* 13, no. 3 (May 2009): 82-87.

and inter-agent communication developed for individual agent systems are especially appropriate for autonomic computing.

- Several projects have been funded so far, focusing on the topics mentioned above. An exhaustive list is beyond the scope of the paper, the following projects and initiatives focused on digital preservation using different approaches and are worth mentioning:
- Preservation and Long-Term Access Through Networked Services (Planets)⁴ was a project co-funded by the European Community under FP6 to build practical services and tools to help ensure long-term access to digital cultural and scientific assets.
- Cultural Artistic and Scientific knowledge for Preservation, Access and Retrieval (CASPAR)⁵ was EU FP6 project that aimed at implementing, extending, and validating the OAIS reference model, enhance the techniques for capturing Representation Information and other preservation related information for content objects.
- Automated Obsolescence Notification System projects (AONS and AONSII)⁶ have been software development projects by the National Library of Australia in conjunction with the Australian Partnership for Sustainable Repositories (APR). The projects aimed at developing a software tool that automatically finds and report indicators of obsolescence risks, to help repository managers decide if preservation action is needed. As reported in Pearson et al. 2008,⁷ there is still a mismatch between this objective and the available sources of information on file formats and further development related to format obsolescence is needed.
- SCIENCE Data Infrastructure for Preservation—with focus on Earth Science (SCIPID-es)⁸ is a EU FP7 project that aims at delivering generic services for science data preservation as part of the data infrastructure for e-science and to build on the experience of the ESA Earth Observation Long-term Data Preservation (LTDP) programme.
- Enabling kNowledge Sustainability, Usability and Recovery for Economic value (ENSURE)⁹ is a research project funded by the European Community under FP7 Programme, to extend the state-of-the-art in digital preservation to heterogeneous data. The use cases adopted come from healthcare, clinical trials, and financial services.
- From Collect-All Archives to Community Memories (ARCOMEM)¹⁰ aims at helping to transform archives into collective memories more tightly integrated with their community of

⁴ <http://www.planets-project.eu/>

⁵ <http://www.casparpreserves.eu/>

⁶ J. Curtis, P. Koerbin, P. Raftos, D. Berriman, and J. Hunter, "AONS - An Obsolescence Detection and Notification Service for Web Archives and Digital Repositories," *New Review on Hypermedia and Multimedia* 13, no. 1 (2007): 39-54; David Pearson, "AONS II: continuing the trend towards preservation software "Nirvana"" (paper presented at iPres2007, Beijing, China, October 11-12, 2007).

⁷ D. Pearson and C. Webb, "Defining File Format Obsolescence: A Risky Journey," *International Journal of Digital Curation* 3, no. 1 (2008): 89-106.

⁸ <http://www.scidip-es.eu/>

⁹ <http://ensure-fp7.eu/>

¹⁰ <http://www.arcomem.eu/>

users, exploiting Web 2.0 and the wisdom of crowds to make web archiving a more selective and meaning-based process.

- SCALable Preservation Environments (SCAPE)¹¹ addresses scalability of large-scale digital preservation workflows aiming at enhancing the state-of-the-art developing infrastructure, providing a framework for automated, quality-assured preservation workflows, and integrating these components with a policy-based preservation planning and watch system.
- Digital Preservation for Timeless Business Processes and Services (TIMBUS)¹² explores the digital preservation problem in scenarios in which the important digital information to be preserved is the execution context within data is processed, analysed, transformed and rendered.
- Alliance Permanent Access to the Records of Science in Europe (APARSEN)¹³ is a Network of Excellence looks across the work in digital preservation which is carried out in Europe and tries to bring it together under a common vision.
- PrestoPRIME¹⁴ is a research project funded under FP7 programme aiming at developing solutions for the long-term preservation of audio-visual digital media objects, programmes and collections, and finding ways to increase access by integrating the media archives with European on-line digital libraries in a digital preservation framework. Tools and services will delivered through a networked CompetenceCentre.¹⁵

The new directions in long-term digital preservation as covered by the ENSURE, ARCOMEM, SCAPE and TIMBUS EU projects have been recently discussed in Edelstein et al. 2011.¹⁶ The discussion underlines that ARCOMEM stands alone in dealing with publicly available and non-regulated data while TIMBUS is the only one focusing on the environments. ENSURE and TIMBUS are both motivated in part by accurate risk assessment and preservation lifecycle issues related to regulations and together with SCAPE they also address the scalability of the infrastructures. Central to all of the stated projects is the ability to define what data needs to be preserved. The automation of the preservation lifecycle is being dealt by all the project except ARCOMEM. While SCAPE will be creating preservation lifecycles for deployment on large computational clusters, ENSURE and TIMBUS will examine how to extend existing lifecycle management tools to meet the additional requirements that digital preservation entails. Additionally, projects such as CASPAR and PrestoPRIME investigated the issues related to enabling future access, including representation and management of rights associated to digital content. In particular, PrestoPRIME developed a rights ontology model based on the analysis of narrative contracts in the B2B environment, which is currently under standardization as part 19 of MPEG-21 (ISO/IEC 21000-19). The PrestoCentre, the competence center established by PrestoPRIME, aims at collecting best practices, guidelines and solutions at a European level, including support for standardization activities

¹¹ <http://www.scape-project.eu/>

¹² <http://www.timbusproject.net/>

¹³ <http://www.alliancepermanentaccess.org/>

¹⁴ <http://www.prestoprime.eu>

¹⁵ <http://www.prestocentre.eu>

¹⁶ Orit Edelstein, Michael Factor, Ross King, Thomas Risse, Eliot Salant, and Philip Taylor, "Evolving Domains, Problems and Solutions for Long-term Digital Preservation," In *Proceedings of iPRES 2011 - 8th International Conference on Preservation of Digital Objects*, 2011.

such as MPEG Multimedia Preservation Description Information, aiming at providing a standard interoperable preservation description that is capable of preserving multimedia content for long-term.

3. The Autonomic Approach for Digital Preservation

Starting from the OAIS¹⁷ model and from the experience matured in the projects cited in the previous section, we focus our attention to the access copies, going a little bit beyond the OAIS, introducing the autonomic management of preservation within the functional block “preservation planning”, limited to access copies of the archive. According to Kephart et al. 2003,¹⁸ we can image the “preservation planning” component made up of agents, autonomic agents linked together between federated networks of archives, able to perform two main tasks autonomously:

- discover** the obsolescence of preserved contents
- migrate** the obsolete contents to the most appropriate format

Software already updates itself and the reader can easily recall the automatic software update notification for packages such as those provided by Adobe and OpenOffice. Actually these examples are dealing with specific software installed on specific hardware and last but not least, the software vendor with a specific registry updated for storing and publishing the obsolescence and the “update paths” to be followed.

Actually on the one hand these proprietary software packages are able to self-update, migrating automatically to the newer version, but on the other hand they have no knowledge about dependencies and consequences derived from the proposed update. Many times, the user is suffering problems from the self update of software applications, because the operation breaks dependencies or, worse, update some libraries shared to other software components that will never work again. Summing up, the “*self-update of software packages*” represents a menace for the user instead of being a benefit. Specific aspects to point out are:

- Self-update is usually not able to recognize and identify which other components are making use of the obsolete software, that potentially cannot work anymore. As example, moving from Excel 2007 to Excel 2010 requires a spreadsheet format migration from xsl to xlsx that is not always straightforward and maybe not working especially if file protection is used. Furthermore, if some application is specifically written for having “xls” files as input, it will never work again after the update.
- Self-update is not able to evaluate which software components have been used in the older versions, that are candidate to be broken and do not work anymore.

Hence, instead of simply asking the user “A new version of software X is available, do you want to install it?” it would be better if the self-update process was able to ask the following question: “A new version of software X is available, if you want to install it you have to migrate the following packages and in order to have these software component still running, you have to move these libraries to something else, etc....” Unfortunately as the reader has already experienced, the self-update of software packages is a serious

¹⁷ OAIS 2002.

¹⁸ Jeffrey O. Kephart and David M. Chess, “The Vision of Autonomic Computing,” *IBM Thomas J. Watson Research Center* 0018-9162/03 © 2003 IEEE.

issue and usually completely left to the user's responsibility, without any support and advice on potential damages that the process can cause.

In our case, the autonomic preservation of access copies, the problem is really much wider, without any well established, trusted and complete registry, managing and preserving not only a specific software version but also and mainly the contents and the technology and software components linked or with dependencies associated.

The automatic update of archived master copies is weakly perceived because the responsible archives are already setting up procedures and resources dedicated. Completely different situation is what we have about the access copies, for usually there are no many resources to allocate and an automatic support is welcome and needed.

Even if in Section 2 we have pointed out some experiences and projects addressing these tasks, anyone has come up with a complete solution. Actually most of them are addressing the general preservation issues, hence the loss of a single bit is not allowed for archived copies, resulting in the impossibility to trust any automatic system performing format migrations and conversions without the supervision of human beings. On the other hand, limiting the scope to the "access copies" (or "wife copies"), we can afford the loss of contents as well as the migration to a format chosen automatically by the agent that may be not the best. These faults can be accepted because there is always the master copies and therefore it is possible to re-create access copies at any time, thereby exposing again the original contents.

Moreover, focusing the attention to the "access copies" and to the "access" aspects, we can address also a further issue, going beyond OAIS, the preservation to the "publication system".

The "accessibility" to digital contents is not only limited to the contents but also the system providing the contents as well, connected to the clients with several different technologies involved.

We can figure out an "autonomic manager" responsible for the managed elements that are made up of our "access copies" together with the systems related to the access process (publication system, web servers, etc.). The "autonomic manager" is responsible for performing the following functions without the human intervention:¹⁹ self-configuration, self-healing, self-optimization, self-protection.

In our "preservation" context these functions can be implemented as follows:

Self-configuration means have a complete knowledge of preserved formats, especially if preserved contents are multimedia where we have wrappers/containers and different tracks for audio/video/text with associated codecs. Also the knowledge of all the "accessibility" aspects in charge to a specific node.

Monitor must also check what is going to be performed within the federated networks of other "autonomic agents", selected choices and migrations strategies applied. More specifically, in this phase the autonomic manager will evaluate configuration files, registries, makefiles, classpaths, shared and linked dynamic libraries, etc., generally every software and hardware structure having "usage" dependencies each other and within the architectural components. Agent must configure itself in order to receive the obsolescence events and selected the best actions to perform.

Section 4 describes how to represent dependencies in order to set up the needed framework that the agent can apply in an autonomous way.

¹⁹ Brazier et al. 2009.

Self-protection means that the manager must proactively identify preservation issues and take appropriate actions. The “agent” receive messages about obsolescence risks and issues to be managed. Hence self-protection means that he will take the most appropriate actions in order to remove the issues.

Self-optimization means that once the autonomic manager has analysed a specific issue and decided to intervene for self-protection as well as self-healing, a ranking of the different, possible plans will be derived, taking into account efficiency and performance requirements, constraints and the rules to be followed. The ranking will be used to select the most convenient plan, either autonomously or by asking human intervention.

Self-healing is the action of autonomously evaluate the knowledge acquired in the monitor activity, in order to come up with suggestions and decisions to implement. This is the most important activity delegated to a preservation system: the healing analysis must decide the most appropriate action to be taken for preserving the access copies and the access system at risk. The following Section 4 describes how to represent the obsolescences in order to apply the rules that the agent uses for self-healing in an autonomous way from obsolescence. Self-healing represents the “cure” to the “obsolescence disease”.

Having in mind the above concepts and taking into account next section (Section 4) describing the representation of obsolescence and dependencies, we can sum up and design the overall system (Section 5) able to autonomously manage the preservation of access copies.

4. A Model for Dependencies and Obsolescence Representation

Work on digital preservation so far has been largely inspired by the OAIS reference model,²⁰ which defines the information and the functional model of an archival system for the preservation of some information content. Although OAIS was never meant to be a design pattern, and even less a functional specification, it has been essentially interpreted that way. As a result, projects addressing digital preservation (from now on, DP for short) almost invariably end up designing *ad hoc* DP systems whose information structures and functional architectures reflect, respectively, the information and the functional models of OAIS. These DP systems are used as external entities, which are handed over the output of some other system, in order to preserve such output. In these approaches, preservation is achieved through a de-contextualization of the information to be preserved, and a great effort is required in order to endow the de-contextualized information with enough knowledge (Representation Information and PDI) to allow its interpretability in the future.

Contrary to these approaches, we think that the long-term preservation of information should be the effect of a quality that any information system can exhibit. We call such quality as longevity. Longevity is the ability of an information system of achieving a set of goals that have a duration in time, no matter how distant in the future. Whenever the duration in time of a goal extends beyond the lifetime of the technology used to achieve that goal, a DP problem arises. Thus, longevity captures DP as it is usually defined.

²⁰ OAIS 2002.

Current software development methodologies take a great care to guarantee that newly constructed information systems (from now on ISs, for short) satisfy a set of goals at IS release time. To this end, these methodologies provide languages for explicitly representing goals as requirements, and for relating requirements to the artefacts that document the software development process, from the design of the IS down to its final architecture. In the UML,²¹ for instance, requirements are represented as use cases, and are related to the structural and behavioural elements that implement them. This relation is a realization: it associates use cases to collaborations, defined as “societies of classes, interfaces, and other elements that work together to provide some cooperative behaviour.”²² Interfaces, in turn, are realized by components. Components are the basic elements of architectures, defined as “physical and replaceable parts of a system that conforms to and realizes a set of interfaces.”²³ By composing the realization relation, then, the UML allows to build a chain that connects requirements to the interfaces that realize them, and interfaces to the components that realize them. This chain gives therefore a very solid representation of the connection between the goals of an IS and the physical parts of the IS that achieve these goals, and as such it is crucial for longevity.

Unfortunately, OAIS has driven attention away from this chain, by proposing an Information Model that does not include any element of the chain. However, we argue that this chain is crucial for achieving a core functionality of OAIS, namely the “Develop Preservation Strategies and Standards” function, which is part of the Preservation Planning functional entity of the OAIS Functional Model. In fact, our proposal can be understood as directed towards supporting the development of preservation strategies through automation.

Any IS developed according to a principled methodology is thus born to potentially satisfy longevity. But in order to effectively satisfy longevity, an IS must successfully cope with the passage of time. The passage of time determines the obsolescence of the three fundamental elements of an IS:

- *Software components*: The obsolescence of software components is a well-known fact. It is caused by the perpetual evolution of technology and affects longevity due to the fact that the interfaces of obsolescent components will no longer be implemented, causing a set of goals to be no longer achieved.
- *Contents*: The obsolescence of the contents of an IS (understood as multimedia complex objects) is mostly caused by the degradation of media. As a result, some component using the content stored on the obsolescent media is no longer able to function, again causing a set of goals to be no longer achieved.
- *Metadata*: the obsolescence of metadata is due to the fact that the ontologies evolve: Some term in an ontology may fall out of usage, or may change its meaning, and new terms may come into usage. As a consequence, the metadata that use the out-dated or the changed terms, or that do not use the newly introduced terms, do not convey the same meaning as before to their users (be they software or humans in the designated community), and as such they may no longer be used for the same purpose.

²¹ Unified Modeling Language™ (UML®) - Version (2.4.1) has been formally published by ISO as the 2012 edition standard: ISO/IEC 19505-1 and 19505-2. <http://www.omg.org/spec/UML/ISO/19505-2/PDF>.

²² Ibid., p. 371.

²³ Ibid., p. 345.

In order to cope with obsolescence, the architecture on an IS needs to evolve. Evolving architectures is at the heart of digital preservation. It amounts to replace a set of components of the architecture, whether software, contents or metadata, with different ones so that a new architecture is obtained *that achieves all goals in place*. In the case of software components, evolution means replacement with one or more different software components in order to preserve functionality; in the case of contents, evolution means transformation from one format to another one in order to preserve information; in the case of metadata, evolution means re-writing according to a different ontology, in order to preserve meaning. The evolution of the architecture of an IS is intended to capture all these three different kinds of evolutions.

In this paper we do not consider the evolution of metadata, which requires techniques that significantly differ from those required for the evolution of software components and contents.

Most of the times the replacement of a component has consequences that impact other components of the architecture. Sometimes there is no unique replacement to be made, and a decision amongst a set of alternatives has to be taken, based on some utility function. Sometimes, it is not possible to know a priori whether an evolution of the current architecture satisfies all requirements in place, and a certain number of tests have to be executed to validate the evolution.

4.1 The Approach

The methodology that we propose is based on the principle that the IS is endowed with, and uses an explicit representation of its own goals, of its own functional architecture, and of the relationships between the former and the latter that state how the goals are realized by the functions provided by the architecture. As already argued above, languages for expressing requirements and functional architectures already exist, and are currently used for software development. Those languages need to be extended by combining them with languages for representing goals, which have been researched in the last decade and are now at a mature stage of development.²⁴ Indeed, goals stand at a more abstract level than requirements; moreover, they have their own structure and have a temporal dimension whose capturing is crucial for modelling and maintaining longevity. The methodology will also provide the machinery for representing the obsolescence of the IS architecture, as an event situated in time.

Depending on the type of the obsolescence, the corresponding event will carry contextual knowledge that will inform the ensuing evolution process. The methodology will finally provide the algorithms for analysing the obsolescence, determine which goals are affected by it, and propose a ranked set of alternative architectures, each representing a different way of evolving the current architecture in order to attain longevity of the IS. In order to compute alternative architectures, the methodology will need to acquire information about existing components for replacing the obsolescent ones.

Typically, an architecture can be evolved in many different ways, leading to a very large problem space. The methodology will deal with the possible combinatorial explosion by working on two different aspects: from the one hand, it will use a natural ordering amongst architectures ruling out architectures that are *a priori* sub-optimal, i.e., architectures that are functionally redundant, supersets of other architectures, and the like; from the other hand, it will rank architectures based on the combination of two main factors:

²⁴ Unified Modeling Language.

- An application-independent factor, measuring the *distance* between the current architecture and the alternative architecture. Research work is needed to analyse several distance measures in order to determine in an empirical way the one that best fits the use cases at hand.
- An application-dependent factor, measuring the cost of migrating to the new architecture from a domain specific point of view. The identification of application-dependent factors, requires a substantial investment in the analysis of specific domains. Since different domains will have different factors, the application-dependent function is best considered as an input to the methodology.

The final selection of the architecture will be carried out by a human user with the support of the methodology, which will provide this user with the information generated during the architecture ranking process.

5. Designing the Autonomic Preservation of Access Copies

We are integrating the basic concepts of Autonomic Computing, Multi Agent Systems (MAS) and Service Oriented Computing (SOC)²⁵ in order to design a computing preservation system able to manage itself given high level preservation rules provided by the archival administrator. Actually our autonomic system is made up of individual preservation agents, responsible for managing several preservation aspects, most important being: knowledge, reasoning, planning and scheduling.

Knowledge, is related to the “self-configuration” autonomic function (Section 3), where the agents acquire the competence on preserved contents, the associated metadata and the overall Information System (Section 4) in order to identify every link and dependency. It also takes into account rules set up by the archive administrator and the information coming from the connected “preservation agents” (or, as described later, from the “shared environment”) in order to have a complete awareness of the monitored context. **Reasoning** is mainly related to the “self-healing” autonomic function the focus point of the preservation agent, implementing the capability to gather information from the knowledge in order to come up with appropriate preservation actions that the **Planning** will analyse and simulate in the preservation agent environment in order to be able to choose the correct procedures for **Scheduling** the list of tasks to be performed. As already pointed out, Reasoning is the core aspect of our preservation agent. The considered approach is the Stigmergy model, first introduced in,²⁶ where preservation agents collaborate not by direct message exchanged but by jointly making and sensing changes to their shared environments and knowledge.²⁷ In this way we are removing the need of a centralized registry of obsolescence (such as for formats) as we may have been forced to plan (especially in a SOC and SOA approach), moving the registry concept to the complete (and more comprehensive) view of the overall

²⁵ Brazier et al. 2009.

²⁶ P. P. Grassé, “La reconstruction du nid et les Coordinations Inter-Individuelles chez *Bellicositermes Natalensis* et *Cubitermes* sp.” *Insectes Sociaux* 6, no. 1 (1959): 41-84.

²⁷ Brazier et al. 2009; Ozalp Babaoglu, Geoffrey Canright, Andreas Deutsch, Gianni A. Di Caro, Frederick Ducatelle, Luca M. Gambardella, Niloy Ganguly, Márk Jelasity, Roberto Montemanni, Alberto Montesor, and Tore Urnes, “Design patterns from biology for distributed computing,” *ACM Transactions on Autonomous Adaptive Systems* 1, no. 1 (September 2006): 26-66; Blesson Varghese, and Gerard T. McKee, “Applying Autonomic Computing Concepts to Parallel Computing using Intelligent Agents,” *World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology* 31 (2009): 362-366.

archival system, made up of Information Systems (software, hardware components), metadata and obviously “contents”, representing the knowledge of the preservation agent. A typical scenario could be as follows:

Preservation agent A discovers a weak link in its knowledge, maybe a content format obsolescence, or an application for rendering a specific codec no more available or supported.

- preservation A reasoning, according to its rules, either:
 - Suggests to migrate to a new format selected from an available list or based on a pre-existing knowledge; or
 - Notifies the archive administrator in order to choose the most appropriate migration path to follow.

In the latter case the new rule is added to the knowledge of preservation agent A. Hence the preservation actions are applied to the contents/systems managed by preservation agent A (planning and execution). According to the Stigmergy model,²⁸ preservation agent B is looking at the knowledge of its linked agents, including agent A. New rules are hence available addressing a specific format obsolescence and preservation agent B will reason on contents and systems which is responsible for. In the case B is suffering the same or a partial obsolescence issue, the preservation agent B will autonomously take the appropriate preservation action in order to fix it. It can decide to adopt a partial migration path in order to tailor the preservation action to its specific needs, rules and context. Again, a further preservation agent C in the network of A and B can watch what’s happening and, even if it has no contents under obsolescence risk, he can update its knowledge for future use improving its reasoning capabilities addressing the overall migration path as well as sub-parts and specific weak links management.

The above scenario demonstrates that if on the one hand it is not possible to create a generalized obsolescence registry (as demonstrated by many initiatives (Section 2), on the other hand, making use of an autonomous approach with Stigmergy preservation agents, it is possible to create customized registries (tailored specifically to preservation archives), that are distributed according to a shared knowledge managed by preservation agents.

6. Conclusions and Future Work

This paper has introduced a candidate solution for the preservation of digital contents, specifically addressing the “access copies”. The approach has analysed and designed an autonomous model where autonomous systems are able to perform the preservation actions needed to keep contents (and their accessibility) up to date. A method for describing obsolescence has been presented and a solution based on stigmergy approach has been proposed. This position paper opens novel paths to follow enabling automatic and autonomous preservation.

In order to have a shared environment describing the knowledge of “autonomous agents” distributed over the federated networks, we can imagine to set up services published by Linked Open Data protocols. Making use of “graph” queries it could be possible to extract complex information, links and dependencies, that will be the knowledge base evaluated and modified in order to preserve the access

²⁸ Leslie Marsh and Christian Onof, “Stigmergic epistemology, stigmergic cognition,” *Cognitive Systems Research* 9, no. 1-2 (March 2008): 136-149.

copies. A software model based on the proposed approach can be designed and simulated on open source MAS (Multi Agent Systems) platforms such as Jade²⁹ and Janus,³⁰ adding the needed graphs (or *RDF*³¹ *like*) for representing the knowledge base, continuously monitored and modified by the preservation agents. Currently the authors are investigating artificial life design patterns in order to select the most appropriate stigmergy model and set up a simulator for the experimentation of autonomic preservation processes.

Acknowledgements

This work was partially supported by the PrestoPRIME project, funded by the European Commission under ICT FP7 (Seventh Framework Programme, Contract No. 231161) and the Europeana v2.0, CIP-Thematic Network (contract No: 270902).

²⁹ <http://jade.tilab.com/>

³⁰ <http://www.janus-project.org/Home>

³¹ Resource Description Framework - <http://www.w3.org/RDF/>

Thank you to our sponsors!

Diamond Sponsors



Platinum Sponsors



Ministry of Communications
and Information Technologies,
Republic of Azerbaijan



Social Sciences and Humanities
Research Council of Canada

Conseil de recherches en
sciences humaines du Canada

Canada



a place of mind
THE UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA



UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO
LIBRARIES

Gold Sponsors



Silver Sponsors



Supporters

