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ABSTRACT. Objective. To compare the distribution of congenital anomalies within the VACTERL association (vertebral defects, anal atresia, cardiac, tracheoesophageal, renal, and limb abnormalities) between patients exposed to tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α) antagonist and the general population.

Methods. Analysis for comparison of proportional differences to a previous publication between anomaly subgroups, according to subgroup definitions of the European Surveillance of Congenital Anomalies (EUROCAT), a population-based database.

Results. Most EUROCAT subgroups belonging to the VACTERL association contained only one or 2 records of TNF-α antagonist exposure, so comparison of proportions was imprecise. Only the category “limb abnormalities” showed a significantly higher proportion in the general population.

Conclusion. The high number of congenital anomalies belonging to the VACTERL association from a report of pregnancies exposed to TNF-α antagonists could not be confirmed using a population-based congenital anomaly database. (First Release July 1 2011; J Rheumatol 2011;38:1871–4; doi:10.3899/jrheum.101316)
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Tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α) antagonists are indicated for treatment of autoimmune disorders, which have a higher incidence in women1. The teratogenic effect of TNF-α antagonists is unknown.

A case of VACTERL association (vertebral defects, anal atresia, cardiac, tracheoesophageal, renal, and limb abnormalities; Table 1) due to in-utero exposure to a TNF-α antagonist was identified by Carter, et al2. Based on this case, the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) Adverse Event Reporting System (AERS) database was searched for confirmation of this association of in-utero exposure to TNF-α antagonists3. Carter, et al concluded that their data suggest a possible causative effect of in-utero exposure to TNF-α antagonists and the VACTERL association.

A VACTERL association4 is defined by at least 3 of the included congenital anomalies (Table 1) and is estimated to occur in 1.6–2.9/10,000 live births5,6. Carter, et al3 also investigated the individual anomalies comprising the VACTERL association instead of the defined presence of at least 3 anomalies.

The FDA AERS database7 is designed to identify signals of spontaneous reported adverse events in general, in contrast to the European Surveillance of Congenital Anomalies (EUROCAT), a population-based database specifically designed to identify and test signals of congenital anomalies7.

To assess whether in-utero exposure to TNF-α antagonists would provide a higher risk of one or more of the anomalies included in the VACTERL association, compared to the general population, we compared the distribution of congenital anomalies among the cases exposed to TNF-α antagonists as presented by Carter, et al8 with the distribution of these anomalies in the EUROCAT database.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The EUROCAT central database, covering a large European population, contains individual standardized anonymous records of congenital anomalies since 1980 including live births, stillbirths, or fetal death from 20 weeks of gestation.
gestational age, and terminations of pregnancy following prenatal diagnosis of congenital anomalies of any gestational age. The EUROCAT antiepileptic study database is a validated part of the EUROCAT central database and can be regarded as representative with respect to the distribution of congenital anomalies in the general population based on several publications. For this reason this dataset was used. This dataset comprises 98,075 major congenital anomalies covering 3.8 million births. Medication use in this database is representative for the use of medication in pregnancy in the general population delivering a pregnancy outcome with congenital anomalies. We expect a low prevalence of use of TNF-α antagonists in pregnancy (less than 1/1000). The use of antiepileptic drugs noted in this database was 5.7/1000. That is very low and therefore it is expected that there is no influence on the general distribution of specific birth defects.

We classified the anomalies listed in Table 1 of the Carter publication according to EUROCAT anomaly subgroups. Only 31 of the 41 described cases could be classified to the EUROCAT database. Ten cases were excluded because they contained unspecified congenital anomalies and minor anomalies according to the EUROCAT classification and were infants and fetuses with a chromosomal anomaly or single gene disorder (n = 3).

A working definition for VACTERL association was set up, as there are several definitions for VACTERL association. For correct comparison we used the method used by Carter, et al (one or more congenital anomalies that are part of VACTERL) as well as the agreed definition of at least 3 of the individual anomalies present, as listed in Table 1.

Analysis was performed comparing the proportion of different anomaly subgroups as published by Carter, et al with proportions found in the EUROCAT database, using the chi-square test to determine significance (p < 0.05).

RESULTS

The EUROCAT database contained 110 cases coded as VACTERL association, which might be an underestimation. Using the agreed VACTERL definition, including at least 3 anomalies present, in the EUROCAT database, 619 (531 + 73 + 15, respectively) cases fulfilled these criteria (Table 2).

Counting all individual anomalies in the VACTERL association solely, according to Carter’s definition, in the EUROCAT dataset, more than 50% of all cases of congenital anomalies would have a VACTERL association.

The congenital anomalies identified by Carter, et al included 9 minor anomalies that are excluded in the EUROCAT classification of malformations. These excluded anomalies belonged to the EUROCAT subgroups eye, genital, musculoskeletal, congenital heart disease, and respiratory.

Most cases from the Carter study had only one or 2 major anomalies, so comparison of proportions is imprecise (Table 3). In this context, one significant observation was seen for limb anomalies (p < 0.05).

DISCUSSION

This study shows that the cases with congenital anomalies exposed to TNF-α antagonists as reported by Carter, et al have a distribution of specific congenital anomalies similar to those in a representation of the general population-based congenital anomaly database EUROCAT.

Based on currently known in-utero exposures to TNF-α antagonists, no specific embryopathy has been identified. A limitation for comparison of results between the Carter study and the EUROCAT data is the lack specificity of the congenital anomalies by Carter, et al, and because of this several anomalies could not be reclassified in detail according to the EUROCAT anomaly subgroups. Because cases with only minor anomalies are not included in the EUROCAT database, these cases in the publication of Carter, et al were excluded in our analysis.

Another possible limitation of our study is the difference between the FDA database and our database. The FDA AERS database is a spontaneous adverse reactions system and the EUROCAT database is a population-based congenital anomaly database.

In studies on VACTERL associations, a definition of the association should be included; for instance, the classic VATER association described by Quan, et al does not include the cardiac and limb associations described by Carter, et al. Källén, et al verified the original VATER association but not the extensions such as VACTERL.

Concluding protection by TNF-α antagonists for the congenital anomaly limb would be premature, because of the low number of cases (n = 2) in the population described by Carter, et al.

Our study was not designed to assess whether there is a generalized increased risk of congenital anomalies with use of TNF-α antagonists. In a postmarketing setting, 2 main approaches have been used to identify teratogenic effects of medicines, followup studies and case-control surveillance. Carter, et al suggested a large followup study of pregnancies...
exposed to TNF-α antagonists, especially etanercept, to further assess a possible increase in congenital anomalies. Indeed, for this purpose, large pregnancy registries can be useful; however, a case-control study might be more appropriate to identify such a very rare association. The seemingly high number of congenital anomalies belonging to the VACTERL spectrum within pregnancies exposed to TNF-α antagonists described by Carter, et al could not be confirmed. This neither reinforces nor excludes the possibility of an increased absolute risk associated with TNF-α antagonists. Evaluation of risks of specific major congenital malformations associated with use of TNF-α antagonists can be performed best in a case-control study database designed to test signals of congenital anomalies.
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